



address= High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439-4815, USA zachos@hep.anl.gov

address= Department of Physics, University of Miami, Box 248046, Coral Gables, Florida 33124, USA curtright@physics.miami.edu

Abstract. Phase Space is the framework best suited for quantizing superintegrable systems—systems with more conserved quantities than degrees of freedom. In this quantization method, the symmetry algebras of the hamiltonian invariants are preserved most naturally, as illustrated on nonlinear  $\sigma$ -models, specifically for Chiral Models and de Sitter  $N$ -spheres. Classically, the dynamics of superintegrable models such as these is automatically also described by Nambu Brackets involving the extra symmetry invariants of them. The phase-space quantization worked out then leads to the quantization of the corresponding Nambu Brackets, validating Nambu’s original proposal, despite excessive fears of inconsistency which have arisen over the years. This is a pedagogical talk based on [1,2], stressing points of interpretation and care needed in appreciating the consistency of Quantum Nambu Brackets in phase space. For a parallel discussion in Hilbert space, see T Curtright’s contribution in these Proceedings, [hep-th/0303088].

# Deformation Quantization of Nambu Mechanics

Cosmas K Zachos

Thomas L Curtright

## I INTRODUCTION

Highly symmetric quantum systems are often integrable, and, in special cases, superintegrable and exactly solvable [3]. A superintegrable system of  $N$  degrees of freedom has more than  $N$  independent invariants, and a maximally superintegrable one has  $2N - 1$  invariants. The classical evolution of all functions in phase space for such systems is alternatively specified through Nambu Brackets (NB) [4–7]. However, quantization of NBs has been considered problematic ever since their inception. We find that it need not be.

In the case of velocity-dependent potentials, when quantization of a classical system presents operator ordering ambiguities involving  $x$  and  $p$ , the general consensus has long been [8,9,?,?] to select those orderings in the quantum hamiltonian which maximally preserve the symmetries present in the corresponding classical hamiltonian. Even for simple systems, such as  $\sigma$ -models considered here, such constructions may become involved and needlessly technical.

There is a quantization procedure ideally suited to this problem of selecting the quantum hamiltonian which maximally preserves integrability. In contrast to conventional operator quantization, this problem is addressed most cogently in Moyal’s phase-space quantization formulation [12,13], reviewed in [14]. The reason is that the variables involved in it (“phase-space kernels” or “Weyl-Wigner inverse transforms of operators”) are c-number functions, like those of the classical phase-space theory, and have the same interpretation, although they involve  $\hbar$ -corrections (“deformations”), in general—so  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$  reduces to the classical expression. It is only the detailed algebraic structure of

## REFERENCES

1. T Curtright and C Zachos, New J Phys 4 (2002) 83.1-83.16 [hep-th/0205063].
2. T Curtright and C Zachos, [hep-th/0212267].
3. P Tempesta, A Turbiner, and P Winternitz, J Math Phys 42 (2001) 4248-4257 [hep-th/0011209];  
M Rodriguez and P Winternitz, J Math Phys 43 (2002) 1309-1322 [math-ph/0110018];  
E Kalnins, J Kress, and P Winternitz, J Math Phys 43 (2002) 970-983 [math-ph/0108015];  
S Wojciechowski, Phys Lett A95 (1983) 279-281;  
R Caseiro, J-P Francoise, and R Sasaki, J Math Phys 42 (2001) 5329-5340 [hep-th/0102153].
4. Y Nambu, Phys Rev D7 (1973) 2405-2412.
5. J Hietarinta, J Phys A30 (1997) L27-L33;  
N Mukunda and E Sudarshan, Phys Rev D13 (1976) 2846-2850;  
R Chatterjee, Lett Math Phys 36 (1996) 117-126.
6. V T Filippov, Sib Math Jou, 26 (1985) 879-891;  
L Takhtajan, Commun Math Phys 160 (1994) 295-316. [hep-th/9301111]
7. C Gonera and Y Nutku, Phys Lett A285 (2001) 301-306;  
Y Nutku, "Quantization of superintegrable systems with Nambu-Poisson brackets" talk at the *Workshop on Superintegrability in Classical and Quantum Systems*, Centre de recherches mathématiques, Université de Montréal, 16 - 21 September 2002.
8. G Velo and J Wess, Nuov Cim A1 (1971) 177;  
H Lin, W Lin, and R Sugano, Nucl Phys B16 (1970) 431.
9. M Lakshmanan and K Eswaran, J Phys A8 (1975) 1658;  
A Leznov, Nucl Phys B640 (2002) 469-480 [hep-th/0203225].
10. P Higgs, J Phys A12 (1979) 309.
11. H Leemon, J Phys A12 (1979) 489.
12. J Moyal, Proc Camb Phil Soc 45 (1949) 99-124.
13. T Curtright, D Fairlie, and C Zachos, Phys Rev D58 (1998) 025002.
14. C Zachos, Int J Mod Phys A17 (2002) 297-316 [hep-th/0110114];  
J P Dahl, *Adv Quantum Chem* 39 (2001) 1-18.
15. H Groenewold, Physica 12 (1946) 405-460.
16. L van Hove, Proc R Acad Sci Belgium 26 (1951) 1-102.
17. H Weyl, Z Phys 46 (1927) 1; also reviewed in  
H Weyl, *The Theory of Groups and Quantum Mechanics*, (Dover, New York, 1931).
18. J Hietarinta, J Math Phys 25 (1984) 1833-1840.
19. E Braaten, T Curtright, and C Zachos, Nucl Phys B260 (1985) 630.
20. J-P Dahl, and W Schleich, Phys Rev A65 (2002) 022109; J Shewell, Am J Phys 27 (1959) 16-21.
21. D Fairlie, Proc Camb Phil Soc 60 (1964) 581-586;  
J-P Dahl, in *Energy Storage and Redistribution*, J Hinze, ed (Plenum Press,

New York, 1983) pp 557-571.

22. F Bayen, M Flato, C Fronsdal, A Lichnerowicz, and D Sternheimer, Lett Math Phys 1 (1977) 521-530; Ann Phys 111 (1978) 61; *ibid* 111.
23. T Curtright, T Uematsu, and C Zachos, Nucl Phys B469 (1996) 488 [hep-th/0011137].
24. P A M Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics*, (Belfer Graduate School of Science, New York, 1964).
25. D Sahoo and M C Valsakumar, Phys Rev **A46** (1992) 4410-4412; Mod Phys Lett **A9** (1994) 2727-2732.
26. P Hanlon and M Wachs, Adv Math **113** (1995) 206-236.
27. J A de Azcárraga, A. M. Perelomov, and J C Pérez Bueno, J Phys **A29** (1996) 7993-8010 [hep-th/9605067]; J A de Azcárraga, and J C Pérez Bueno, Commun Math Phys **184** (1997) 669-681 [hep-th/9605213]; J A de Azcárraga, J M Izquierdo, and J C Pérez Bueno, J Phys **A30** (1997) L607-L616 [hep-th/9703019]; Rev R Acad Cien Serie A Mat **95** (2001) 225-248 [physics/9803046].
28. G Dito, M Flato, D Sternheimer, and L Takhtajan, Commun Math Phys 183 (1997) 1-22 [hep-th/9602016]; G Dito and M Flato, Lett Math Phys 39 (1997) 107-125 [hep-th/9609114] ;  
H Awata, M Li, D Minic, and T Yoneya, JHEP 0102 (2001) 013 [hep-th/9906248];  
D Minic and C-H Tze, Phys Lett B536 (2002) 305-314 [hep-th/0202173].